

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/669,671 | 09/23/2003 | Neal R. Rueger | MI22-2145 | 5547 |
| 21567 | 7590 12/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. | | | KEBEDE, BROOK | |
| SPOKANE, V | ΓAVENUE, SUITE 130 WA 99201 | U | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2823 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EL

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/669,671 | RUEGER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brook Kebede | 2823 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Se | ntember 2005 | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| • • • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,28,31-35,39,40 and 42-44</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28 and 31-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) <u>39,40 and 42-44</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/05. | 5) Notice of Informal Po | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/669,671

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 39, 40 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 39 recited the limitation "A method of providing an improved deposition rate uniformity comprising depositing a material over a surface in the presence of at least one gas selected from the group consisting of D₂, HD, DT, T₂ and TH, the depositing occurring at a net deposition rate defined by a ratio of deposition of the material relative to a simultaneous rate of etch of the material, the net deposition rate having at least 18 % decreased variance across the surface relative to a corresponding variance that occurs during deposition utilizing identical parameters and H₂ in place of the at least one gas" in lines 1-9.

However, the above underlined limitation lacks clarity in its meaning and scope for the following reasons:

It is not clear that what is the ratio of the deposition of the material relative to simultaneous rate of etch of the material entails.

Does it mean deposition rates of D₂, HD, DT, T₂ and TH depending on that ratio? What are identical parameters of H₂? What does it mean net deposition rate having at least 18 %

decreased variance across the surface relative to a corresponding variance that occurs during deposition utilizing identical parameters and H₂ in place of the at least one gas? And etc.

Therefore, the claim fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 40 and 42-44 also rejected as being dependent of the rejected independent base claim.

Applicants' cooperation is requested in reviewing the claims structure to ensure proper claim construction and to correct any subsequently discovered instances of claim language noncompliance. See *Morton International Inc.*, 28USPQ2d 1190, 1195 (CAFC, 1993).

Accordingly, claims 39, 40 and 42-44 have not been rejected over the prior art because, in light of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections supra, there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the claims; hence, it would not be proper to reject the claims on the basis of prior art. As stated in *In re Steele*, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 should not be based on considerable speculation about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-7 are allowed over prior art of record.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record neither anticipates nor renders obvious the claimed subject matter of the instant application as a whole either taken alone or in combination, in particular, prior art

Art Unit: 2823

of record does not teach "proving heavy-diatomic hydrogen gas within the chamber," as recited in claim 1.

Claim 2-7 also allowed as being dependent of the allowed independent base claim.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 39, 40 and 42-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendment filed on September 2, 2005.

Conclusion

6. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,671

Art Unit: 2823

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brook Kebede

Primary Examiner

Brook Kekede

Page 5

Art Unit 2823

BK

December 11, 2005